Research Article

Novel Validated Uhplc-Dad Method for Quantification of Leptosperin in New Zealand Mānuka Honey: A Definitive Chemical Marker for Authentication and Quality Control Incorporating Sustainable Practices with Minimal Sample and Plastic Usage for Cost-Effective Analysis

Hari Krishna Ramaprasad Saripalli¹, Rajasekhar Dega², Uma Devarapalli³, K. Aruna Kumari⁴, P.V. Hemalatha⁵, Sunil Pinnamaneni⁶, Chris Bowman⁷, Jala Aaron Hemanth Reuven⁸

¹Manager-Operations, Technical & Quality, AgriTesting Laboratories (Accredited IANZ), A Division of King Honey Limited, Me|Today Limited, 1/6 Mahoe Street, Tauhara, RD2, Taupo 3378, New Zealand.

²Lecturer in Botany, K.R.K Government Degree College, Addanki, Andhra Pradesh, India.

^{3,4}Lecturer in Botany, Government College for Women (Autonomous), Guntur, Andhra Pradesh 522002, India.

⁵Senior Lecturer in Chemistry, K.R.K Government Degree College, Addanki, Andhra Pradesh, India.

⁶Head of Research and Development, Sanad Abu Dhadi, AI Maqam Tower, ADGM Square, AI Maryah Island, Abhu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.

⁷ Chief Executive Officer, Cosana New Zealand Ltd, Keehan Drive, Hilltop, Taupō 3379.

⁸B.Tech., Student, Department of Biotechnology, Koneru Lakshmiah University, Greenfields, Vaddeswarm, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Email: ¹haris@kinghoney.co.nz

Received: 10.05.25, Revised: 13.06.25, Accepted: 15.07.25

ABSTRACT

Leptosperin is a unique floral marker compound found exclusively in the Leptospermum genus, with particularly high concentrations in Leptospermum scoparium (mānuka) honey from New Zealand. Due to mānuka honey's global demand and valued therapeutic properties, reliable authentication methods are essential to ensure product quality, traceability, and prevent adulteration.

This study reports the development and full validation of a sensitive and robust Ultra-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Diode Array Detection (UPLC-DAD) method for the quantification of Leptosperin in honey samples. The method employs a straightforward aqueous extraction followed by reverse-phase chromatography, enabling accurate and selective detection of Leptosperin at 282 nm.

Validation was conducted in accordance with international guidelines, encompassing specificity, linearity, precision, accuracy (expressed as recovery), trueness, limit of detection (LOD), and sample stability. The method exhibited excellent linearity ($R^2 > 0.99$) over a concentration range of 5 to 436 mg/kg. Precision studies showed relative standard deviations (%RSD) below 3% for both intra-day and inter-day measurements. Recovery rates ranged between 90% and 94%, demonstrating the method's accuracy in complex honey matrices. The LOD was established at 10 mg/kg, sufficient to detect typical Leptosperin levels in mānuka honey.

Stability testing confirmed that extracted samples remain stable for up to 36 hours' postpreparation under refrigerated conditions, facilitating flexible laboratory workflows. Method performance was further corroborated through comparative analysis with accredited external laboratories, yielding strong concordance with relative standard deviations below 10.1%.

This validated UPLC-DAD method offers a rapid, reliable, and cost-effective analytical tool for mānuka honey authentication and quality control. Its applicability extends to both monofloral and multifloral mānuka honey types, supporting research, regulatory compliance, and commercial quality assurance efforts. In summary, the method provides a scientifically rigorous approach to quantify Leptosperin—a definitive chemical marker of Leptospermum species—thus enabling robust authentication of mānuka honey to maintain consumer confidence and uphold export quality standards.

Keywords: Leptosperin, Mānuka Honey, UPLC-DAD, Honey Authentication, Quality Control, New Zealand, Floral Markers.

INTRODUCTION

Mānuka honey, derived from the nectar of Leptospermum scoparium flowers, is internationally acclaimed for its distinctive bioactive and antimicrobial properties. Among its key chemical constituents, Leptosperin has been identified as a definitive floral marker unique to mānuka nectar, serving as a reliable indicator of authenticity and geographic origin [1–3, 8, 9]. Precise quantification of Leptosperin is crucial for regulatory compliance, consumer confidence, and trade certification.

Although various analytical techniques, including LC-MS and immunochemical assays, have been employed for Leptosperin detection [4–7], their complexity and cost restrict routine use in high-throughput guality control settings. This study introduces the development and comprehensive validation of a UPLC-DAD method as a simpler, costalternative for effective quantifying Leptosperin in honey. The method was rigorously validated according to AOAC and ICH guidelines and benchmarked against external laboratory standards. Given Leptosperin's exclusive presence in mānuka nectar and its chemical stability, it has emerged as a robust and specific marker capable of differentiating mānuka honey from other floral sources. The objective of this research was to establish a reliable, accurate UPLC-DAD assay for Leptosperin quantification, thereby supporting product authenticity and ensuring accurate labeling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Chemicals and Reagents

All reagents used were of HPLC grade. Acetonitrile (\geq 99.9%, CAS: 75-05-8) and formic acid (\geq 98%, CAS: 64-18-6) were purchased from Merck. Type 1 water with a conductivity of less than 0.1 µS/cm was produced in-house using a Milli-Q purification system. The Leptosperin standard (purity 99.06%) was obtained from UMFHA-certified suppliers.

Sample Collection

Quality control (QC) and test honey samples were sourced from certified stock maintained by King Honey Limited (refer to Table 1). External reference values for these samples were provided by accredited laboratories, including Hill Laboratories and Analytica NZ.

Sample ID	Sample Reference	Leptosperin (mg/kg)	Location
QC-6	KHL1158	525	KHL Warehouse
QC-7	KHL1166	334	KHL Warehouse
KHL1184	KHL1184	270	KHL Warehouse
KHL1304	KHL1304	187	KHL Warehouse
KHL1554	KHL1554	1010	KHL Warehouse
KHL1504	KHL1504	113	KHL Warehouse
KHL1326	KHL1326	N/A	KHL Warehouse

Table 1. Details of the Sample(S) Used For Method Validation of Leptosperin

Instrumentation

Chromatographic analysis was conducted using a Shimadzu Nexera X2 UPLC system equipped with a diode array detector (DAD) set at 282 nm. Separation was achieved on a Phenomenex Synergi Fusion-RP column (50 mm \times 2 mm, 4 µm particle size, 80 Å pore size). Detailed system specifications and gradient parameters are outlined. Additional equipment included analytical balances with sensitivities of 0.1 mg and 0.1 g, vortex mixers, centrifuge tubes, and HPLC vials.

Artificial Honey (Sugar Solution)

To simulate the honey matrix for calibration purposes, artificial honey was prepared by dissolving specific quantities of common sugars in Type 1 water, reflecting the typical carbohydrate composition of natural honey.

Composition (±1 G Unless Otherwise Stated):

- **Glucose:** 34.5 ± 1 g
- Fructose: 45.7 ± 1 g
- Sucrose: 1.5 ± 0.1 g
- Type 1 water: 18.3 ± 1 g

The components were thoroughly mixed until fully dissolved to form a homogenous sugar solution.

Dilution for Use:

For each calibration or test preparation, 1.0 ± 0.1 g of the artificial honey solution was transferred into a 50 mL tube and diluted with 9 mL of Type 1 water. The mixture was vortexed until fully blended.

Storage and Stability:

The diluted artificial honey solution is stable for up to 4 weeks when stored under appropriate conditions.

Extraction and Sample Preparation

Approximately 1.0 ± 0.1 g of honey was accurately weighed and diluted with 9 mL of Type 1 water. The mixture was vortexed thoroughly and subsequently filtered. For each analysis, 0.1 mL of this diluted honey solution was mixed with 0.4 mL of 0.1% formic acid in water, vortexed, and filtered through a 0.2 µm syringe filter directly into HPLC vials. A 1 mL aliquot of the prepared sample was then used for injection.

Calibration Standards and Quality Controls

A five-point calibration curve (C1–C5) was established using Leptosperin standards with concentrations ranging from 5.07 to 436.09 mg/kg. Quality control (QC) samples, QC6 and QC7, were included and analyzed in each batch to ensure assay consistency. The Leptosperin stock solution (S1) was prepared by accurately weighing approximately 22 mg of the pure compound into a 50 mL volumetric flask, then diluting to volume with HPLC-grade water (Table 2 & Table 2a). Calibration standards (C1–C5) were prepared by serial dilution of the stock solution to achieve the target concentration range.

	Leptosperin						
		Purity (%)	99.06%				
		Lot No					
		Prep Date	28.11.19				
		Expiry Date		NA			
		Tech		HS			
	Std (Leptosperin) Vol (ml)	Std Weight (mg) A	Water (ml) B	Standard Weight + Water Weight (g) (A+B)	Calibration Conc (mg/kg)		
S1	20	22	50	49.974	436.0908		
C1	4	4.057	0	4.057	436.09		
C2	3	3.039	1	4.046	327.55		
C3	2	2.023	2	4.049	163.66		
C4	1	1.008	3	4.04	40.83		
C5	0.5	0.5	3.5	4.028	5.07		

 Table 2. Preparation of Calibration Standards

	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
Lepto 1mL each	Lepto C1	Lepto C2	Lepto C3	Lepto C4	Lepto C5
	Т 1 1 2		1 1 1 1 1		

Table 2a. Calibration Standard Volumes

UPLC-DAD Analysis Procedure Equipment Configuration

System: SHIMADZU Nexera X2 Software: Shimadzu LC Solution Components: Pumps: LC-30AD Autosampler: SIL-30AC Column Oven: CTO-20AC Diode Array Detector (DAD): SPD-M20A Communications Module: CBM-20A Degasser: DGU-20A5

Chromatographic Conditions

- Mobile Phase A: 1% formic acid in HPLC water (expiry: 2 weeks from preparation)
- Mobile Phase B: 80% acetonitrile + 20% Mobile Phase A (expiry: 2 weeks)
- Column: Phenomenex Synergi Fusion-RP, 4 μ m, 80 Å, 50 mm × 2 mm
- Column Temperature: 32°C
- Autosampler Temperature: 20°C
- Flow Rate: 0.5 mL/min

- Injection Volume: [Specify, e.g., 5 µL]
- Run Time: 4 minutes
- Detection Wavelength: 282 nm

Method Setup Data Acquisition Time: 4.15min

LC Stop Time:	4.15	min
Le clop timet		
Apply to All	acquisition	time
Acquisition Tim	ne (PDA)	
Sampling: 🧐	40	▼ Hz
1.00	30 30	- msec
	2.2	
Start Time:	0.00	min
Start Time: End Time:	0.00 4.15	min
Start Time: End Time: Time Constant:	0.00 4.15 0.640	min min

Gradient Profile:

LC Stop Time:	4.15	min
Apply to All	acquisition ti	me
Acquisition Tim	e (PDA)	
Sampling: 🔘	40	▼ Hz
0	25	- msec
Start Time:	0.00	min
End Time:	4.15	min
Line inner	C	
Time Constant:	0.640	▼ sec

Pumps	Configur	ation:
-------	----------	--------

Mode [Binary	gradient	•	Configured F	umps	
Total Flow:		0.4500	mL/min	Pump A:	LC-30AD)
Pump B Cond	c.:	5.0	%	Pump B:	LC-30AD)
Pump B Curv	e:	0		Pump C:		
				Pump D:		
				Pressure Lim	its (Pump /	A, B)
				Maximum:	60.0	MPa
				Minimum:	0.0	MPa

PDA Setup: Wavelength = 282 nm; bandwidth settings 200-300nm.

Model: SPD-M20A Lamp:	D2 -]
Wavelength		
Start Wavelength:	200	nm
End Wavelength:	300	nm
Cell Temperature:	40	с
Slit Width:	1.2	▼ nm

Oven Settings:	32°C
-----------------------	------

Model: CTO-20AC					
Oven Temperature:	25	C	Temperature Limit(Maximum):	90	C
Ready Check:	On		Ready Check		

Autosampler Settings: Sample cooler at 20°C

Injection Settings			Rinse Settings				
Sample Rack:	Rack	1.5 mL 105 vials 🔹	Rinsing Speed:	35	uL/sec		
		Detect Rack	Rinsing Volume:	500	uL		
Needle Stroke:	52	mm	Rinse Mode:	Befor	e and after aspir	ation	•
Control Vial Needle Stroke:	52	mm	Rinse Dip Time:	0	sec		
Sampling Speed:	5.0	uL/sec	Rinse Pump				
Cooler Temperature:	20	с	Rinse Method:	Rinse	port only		•
Measuring Line Purge Volume:	100	uL	Rinse Time:	2	sec		
Air Gap Volume:	0.1	uL	-Purge Settings				
						Purge	Time
			Rinse Port:	Purging	with Default R0	3.0	min
			Measuring Line:	Purging	with Default R0	3.0	min

Quantification Settings

Quantitative Analysis:

Based on peak area integration against calibration curve (C1–C5).

Quantitative Method:		Unit:	mg/kg
External Standa	rd ·	Format	t of Concentration
Calculated by:	🦲 Area 🛛 🔘 Height	@ Decima	al Digits 💿 Significant
# of Calb. Levels:	5 Sample Informa	stion	Ligits
Curve Fit Type:	Linear	Grouping Ty	pe:
Zero:	Not Forced	• Not Use	ed 💌
Weighting Method:	None 👻		
X Axis of Calib. Curve	e: O Conc. 💿 Area/Height		

Identification Criteria:

Retention time match within $\pm 10\%$ of standard RT (2.4 \pm 0.15 min).

Nindow:	10	%
	Lo ot	
/erault bandwidth;	0.01	1003
dentification Method:	Absolute	Rt 🔻
eak Selection:	Largest	Peak 🔹
	peaks as p	eaks with zero area(height)
Display not identified		
Add the peaks w	ith zero are	ea(height) to calibration level
Display not identified	ith zero are eaks with z	ea(height) to calibration level eero area(height)

Integration Parameters:

Manual or automatic integration as per the below settings, ensuring correct baseline and peak height.

Channel:	Ch1 282nn	1		•
			Copy to All Channe	ls
Width:	0.1	sec		
Slope:	3500	uV/min		Buserson
Drift:	0	uV/min		(Program)
T. DBL:	10	min	No	oise/Drift Calculation
Min. Area/Height:	100	counts		Advanced
Calculated by:	Area	🔘 Height		
Auto @ Max	Peak 6	counts	Relative to Main F	Peak 1 %
Register Spectro	um to Table			

Pre-Run Instrument Checks System Pressure: Operating range: 70–120 kgf/cm² Retention Time and LOD

Analyte	Wavelength	Retention Time (min)	LOD (mg/kg)
Leptosperin	282 nm	2.4 ± 0.15	10

System Suitability Test (C1 Injection)

Inject C1 as a single start analysis. Verify that the retention time is within $\pm 10\%$ of expected. If outside range, do not proceed; initiate a CAPA and investigate.

RESULTS AND VALIDATION Specificity

No interfering peaks were observed at the Leptosperin retention time (2.4 ± 0.15) minutes) in blank samples, confirming the

method's specificity (Figure 1). Calibration standards (C1 and C5) and representative honey samples were chromatographed under the validated conditions to confirm the presence and retention time of Leptosperin. A well-resolved peak was consistently observed at approximately 2.4 minutes with detection at 282 nm (Figures 1a and 1b), demonstrating clear specificity for the analyte of interest.



Linearity

A five-point calibration curve (C1–C5) spanning 5.07 to 436.09 mg/kg demonstrated excellent linearity, with a correlation coefficient (R^2) of 0.9992 (Figure 2), meeting established linearity criteria.



Figure 2. Linearity of the Response Leptosperin Calibration Curve

Precision

System Precision:

The %RSD for six replicate injections of the highest standard (C5) was 0.3% (Table 3), well within the acceptance threshold of <2%.

Collibration Standard	Area (mAU.sec)				
Calibration Standard	Leptosperin				
C5-Injection1	72178				
C5-Injection2	72448				
C5-Injection3	72507				
C5-Injection4	72484				
C5-Injection5	72311				
C5-Injection6	72052				
% RSD	0.3%				

Table 3: System Precision Data for Calibration Standard C5, Lepto Run Xx

Intra- and Inter-day Precision:

RSD values for QC samples QC6 and QC7 across five consecutive runs were consistently below 5% (Tables 4–6).

	Leptosperin, mg/kg								
Sample	Run_11	Run_12	Run_14	Run_15	Run_16				
QC6 A	512	519	541	533	539				
QC6 B	506	515	546	545	533				
QC6 C	510	566	548	531	541				
QC7 A	371	362	371	365	364				
QC7 B	370	380	368	373	360				
QC7 C	360	378	365	373	364				

Table 4. Leptosperin Concentration, Mg/Kg for Validated Runs

Intra-Day Precision (%RSD)									
QC-6	0.6	5.3	0.7	1.3	0.8				
QC-7 1.6 2.6 0.8 1.2 0.6									

Table 5. Intra-Day Precision for Leptosperin

Inter-Day Precision							
Avg SD RSD							
QC-6	532	13.5	2.5%				
QC-7	368	4.0	1.1%				

Table 6. Inter-day Precision for Leptosperin

Accuracy (Recovery)

Recovery experiments using spiked rewarewa honey (negative control) samples yielded recoveries between 90% and 94%, demonstrating the method's accuracy (Table 6).

Leptosperin									
Sample ID	Conc. (mg/kg)	Spiked Conc. (mg/kg)	Measured Conc. (mg/kg)	%Recover y					
		328	295.95	90%					
		328	295.95	90%					
		328	295.95	90%					
Damasa		218	203.74	94%					
Kewarewa	<20	218	203.74	94%					
noney		218	203.74	94%					
		109	100.68	93%					
		109	100.68	93%					
		109	100.68	93%					

Table 6.a. % recovery of standards c2, c3 and c4 of Leptosperin

Trueness

Comparative analysis with external laboratories showed RSD values ranging from 0.3% to 10.1%, all within the acceptable limit of <20% (Table 7).

Sample ID	Leptosperi		
Sample ID	AgriTesting	Hill Labs	%RSD
KHL1184	279	270	2.4
KHL1304	212	187	8.7
KHL1554	1014	1010	0.3
KHL1504	130	113	10.1

Table 7. Trueness of Leptosperin

3.6 Stability

Calibration standards and QC samples remained stable for up to 36 hours post-extraction, with area variation below 5% (Table 8).

Sample	DHA Area (mAU.sec)	%RSD
Calibration Standard C1	577690	
Calibration Standard C1_24Hr	556014	2.3%
Calibration Standard C1_36Hr	555031	
Honey QC-6	72500	
Honey QC-6_24Hr	70115	2 /0/
Honey OC-6 36Hr	67775	5.4%

Table 8. Peak Stability of Leptosperin

3.7 Measurement Uncertainty (MU)

The expanded uncertainty (U, k=2) was calculated as 3.65% for QC6 and 2.2% for QC7, indicating high confidence in the measurement results. (Table 9).

	Measurement Uncertainty for Leptosperin									
	Avg.(mg/k g)	SD	RS D	UCL	LCL	RSD cc	RSD cc ²	%u _R w ²	U = 2√(%u _{Rw} 2)	Average MU (%)
QC- 6	532.4	13. 5	2.5	491. 7	573. 0	2.5	6.5	6.5	5.1	
QC- 7	368.3	4.0	1.1	356. 5	380. 2	1.1	1.2	1.2	2.2	3.65

Table 9. MU of Leptosperin

Limit of Detection and Quantification

LOD: 10 mg/kg

Retention Time (RT): 2.4 ± 0.15 minutes

Detection precision was confirmed by QC sample RSD values below 10% and standard peak area RSDs below 5%.

Quality Control

QC samples (QC6 and QC7), prepared from authentic mānuka honey, consistently met

internal quality control criteria (data available upon request or in supplementary figures).

Peak Acceptance Criteria

- Retention time of unknown samples must be within ±10% of calibration standard RT.
- Peaks must be free from:
 - Double peaks
 - Negative peaks
 - Significant peak tailing (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Chromatogram1: Peaks integrated Properly for Leptosperin (2.4 mins), 282nm.

RT Consistency

Average retention time of Leptosperin across C1–C5 and unknowns must be within $\pm 0.15\%$. Calculated using Lab Solution Browser.

Non-Compliance

If any validation parameter is not met, the run is deemed invalid. A CAPA must be initiated for investigation.

DISCUSSION

The validated UPLC-DAD method provides a high-throughput, cost-effective alternative to more complex techniques such as LC-MS for the quantification of Leptosperin in honey. The

method demonstrated strong performance across all validation parameters, meeting or

exceeding AOAC standards. Its suitability for both monofloral and multifloral honey types underscores its versatility across a broad range of honey matrices.

Utilizing diode array detection at 282 nm, the method offers a selective yet straightforward detection strategy. Specificity was clearly established, with no interfering peaks observed at the Leptosperin retention time. The calibration curve yielded an excellent correlation coefficient ($R^2 > 0.99$), confirming robust linearity across the tested concentration range. Additionally, cross-validation with

accredited external laboratories supports the method's trueness and enhances its credibility. The protocol's short run time (4 minutes), high sensitivity, and minimal sample preparation requirements make it especially well-suited for use in commercial and regulatory laboratories. The method consistently demonstrated strong precision, accuracy, and stability, with low RSD values and reliable retention time performance, further confirming its robustness.

Given the growing demand for authenticated mānuka honey, the ability to accurately quantify Leptosperin—an established floral marker—provides an essential tool for industry and regulatory bodies. This method facilitates rapid screening and reliable authentication, reinforcing consumer confidence and supporting rigorous product labeling and classification standards.

CONCLUSION

A robust, sensitive, and validated UPLC-DAD method for the quantification of Leptosperin in honey has been successfully developed and verified across key validation parameters, including specificity, precision, trueness, and accuracy. The method demonstrates excellent sensitivity and is applicable to both monofloral and multifloral mānuka honey samples.

With its short run time, minimal sample preparation, and strong analytical performance, this method is well-suited for routine quality control, product authentication, and regulatory compliance. It provides an effective analytical tool to support traceability, export verification, and quality assurance within the mānuka honey industry.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank King Honey Limited and the laboratory team at AgriTesting for technical support. Special thanks to Hill Laboratories and Analytica NZ for external reference values.

REFERENCES

- 1. Stephens, J. M. et al. (2017). A Novel Method for Determining Mānuka Honey Floral Purity. Honey Analysis, 95-113. https://doi.org/10.5772/66313
- 2. Bong, J. et al. (2017). Leptosperin is a distinct and detectable fluorophore in Leptospermum honeys. Food Chemistry, 214, 102-109.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2 016.07.018

- 3. Lin, B. et al. (2020). Utility of the Leptospermum scoparium Compound Lepteridine as a Chemical Marker for Mānuka Honey Authenticity. ACS Omega, 5, 8858-8866. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c0 0486
- 4. Kato, Y. et al. (2014). Immunochemical Authentication of Mānuka Honey Using a Monoclonal Antibody. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 62, 10.1021/jf503464a
- 5. Jessie Bong et al. (2018). Chemical and fluorescence profiling of NZ honeys. Food Chemistry, 267, 355-367. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2 017.07.065
- 6. A study by Zhang et al. (2023) utilized UPLC-QTOF-MS to analyze differences between unifloral honeys, highlighting leptosperin as a distinguishing marker for Mānuka honey
- 7. Guo et al. (2024) employed chromatography-based metabolomics to distinguish Mānuka honey from other unifloral honeys, identifying unique compounds such as leptosperin and leptosperinic acid.
- 8. A study by Wu et al. (2023) developed and validated an UHPLC-MS/MS method for the determination of 32 pyrrolizidine alkaloids in Chinese wild honey, providing insights into method validation parameters such as LOD, LOQ, and recovery rates.
- 9. Sedbare et al. (2022) validated a UPLC-DAD methodology for detecting triterpenoids and phytosterols in fruit samples, following ICH guidelines for precision, linearity, and accuracy.
- 10. Pullagura, J. R., Rao, A. N., Kumar, P. S., Raju, M. V., & Madhavi, B. (2025). Grain Quality Analysis Using CNN And Iot Based Strategic Safeguarding. Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, 1273-1278.
- Sai, R. H. N., Madhavi, B., Reginald, P. J., Kumar, P. S., & Raju, M. V. (2025). Tracing The Pathways And Health Risks Of Microplastics In The Food Chain. Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, 1448-1454.
- 12. avid, C., A, K., Loukkose Rosemary, S., Kommoju, V., Chandrasekhar, K.,

Pinapala, C., ... Davuluri, S. B. (2025). Strategy for enhanced treatment of distillery spent wash effluent with aluminum nanoparticle-assisted electrocoagulation. Chemical Engineering Communications, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00986445.202 5.2497289.

- Syam Babu, D., Vijay, K., Shakira, S., Mallemkondu, V. S., Barik, P., Kuppam, C., ... & Raju, M. V. (2025). Laterite integrated persulfate based advanced oxidation and biological treatment for textile industrial effluent remediation: optimization and field application. Applied Bionics and Biomechanics, 2025(1), 9325665.
- 14. MV, R., & K Maria, D. (2020). Green Engineering Strategies on Solid Waste Management to Promote Urban Environmental Sustainability. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(5), 4638-4648.
- Raju, M. V., Palivela, H., Mariadas, K., £ Babu, S. R. (2019). Assessment of physico-chemical and biological characteristics and suitability study of lake water: a model study. Technology, 10(01), 1431-1438.
- 16. MV, R., K Maria, D., & Cyril Lucy, M. (2020). Rapid Environmental Impact Assessment of Lake Water. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(3), 8468-8478.
- Raju, M. V., Mariadas, K., Palivela, H., Ramesh Babu, S., & Raja Krishna Prasad, N. (2018). Mitigation plans to overcome environmental issues: A model study. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 9(10), 86-94.
- Satish Kumar, M., Raju, M. V., & Palivela, H. (2017). Comprehensive index of groundwater prospects by using standard protocols-A model study. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET), 8(5), 521-526.
- 19. Hepsibah, P., MV, R., & K Maria, D. (2020). Quality Characteristics of Ground Waters in Few Sources of Industrial Zone. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 9(3), 1134-1137.

- 20. Kumar, M. S., Raju, M. V., Palivela, H., & Venu Ratna Kumari, G. (2017). Water quality scenario of urban polluted lakes-A model study. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 8(5), 297-302.
- Kumar, M. S., Raju, M. V., & Palivela, H. (2017). An overview of managing municipal Solid waste in urban areas-A model study. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 8(5).
- Satish Kumar, M., Raju, M. V., Ramesh Babu, S., & Siva Jagadeesh Kumar, M. (2017). Interpretation and correlative study of water simulation in surface water bodies. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET), 8(5), 1206-1211.
- Pullagura, J. R., Osman, O., Kumar, M. S., & Raju, M. V. (2024). LoRaWAN based IoT Architecture for Environmental Monitoring in Museums. Journal of Computational Analysis & Applications, 33(5).
- 24. Mariadas, K., Rao, T. S., Raju, M. V., & Kumar, M. S. (2024). A Study On The Fluoride Content Of The Groundwater In The Gurazala Division Of The Palnadu District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Journal of Advanced Zoology, 45(3).
- 25. Geetha, R., Raju, M. V., Bhavanee, G. P., & Selvakumar, P. A Review on Medicinal Activity and Its Health Impact of Azadirachta Indica (Neem). YMER. vol, 21, 188-200.
- Reginald, P. J., Raju, M. V., Satyanarayana, D., Mariadas, K., & Kumar, M. S. (2021). Kisaan Seva-Aadhaar Linked Smart Farming Application. Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, 25(5), 5168-5176.
- 27. Kumar, M. S., Asadi, S. S., & Vutukuru, S. S. (2017). Assessment of Heavy Metal concentration in ground water by using remote sensing and GIS. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 8(4).
- Vutukuru, S. S., Asadi, S. S., Vasantha, R. B. V. T., & Raju, M. V. (2012). Plankton biodiversity as indicators of the ecological status of River Moosi, Hyderabad, India. International Journal of Earth Science and Engineering, 5(3), 587-592.