E-ISSN 2250-0944

ISSN 2250-1150

doi: 10.48047/ijprt/15.01.184

MORPHOMETRIC STUDY OF PTERION IN HUMAN SKULLS

Dr. Jagdeesh kumar raikwar¹, DR. Naziya Noor², Dr. Rishab Rao Singaraju³, Dr. Pankaj Chourasiya⁴

Ph.D Scholar, Dept. of Anatomy, Malwanchal University, Indore (MP)

Associate Professor Dept. of Anatomy, Index medical college hospital & RC, Indore [M.P]

Junior resident, Dept. of General Surgery, Gujarat medical education & research society, Valsad [GJ]

Assistant professor, Dept. of Anatomy, Government medical college, Satna [MP]

> **Corresponding Author:** Dr.Pankaj Chourasiya Email: <u>anatomypankaj@gmail.com</u>

INTRODUCTION

Pterion is a small, H-shaped region located in the temporal fossa on the *Norma lateralis* of the skull, where four bones—frontal, parietal, greater wing of sphenoid, and squamous part of temporal—converge. Covered by the temporalis muscle and fascia, it marks the anterior division of the middle meningeal artery and the Sylvian point. It is typically located ~4 cm above the zygomatic arch and ~3–3.5 cm behind the frontozygomatic suture.

Clinically significant, the pterion is the thinnest part of the skull and lies just above the anterior branch of the middle meningeal artery. This makes it a key site for burr hole surgeries to evacuate extradural hematomas. In neonates, it corresponds to the anterolateral fontanelle, which closes by the third month. The term "pterion" comes from the Greek word for "wing," and is linked to Hermes in mythology.

Variants like sutural (epipteric) bones may be mistaken for fractures on imaging. Pterion types—classified by Broca, Murphy, and Wang—include sphenoparietal, frontotemporal, stellate, epipteric, and others, with significance in neurosurgery, anthropology, and forensic science.

Material & Methodology

The study was conducted in the **Department of Anatomy at Index Medical College, Indore**, located in Central India. It followed an **observational design** and was conducted between **January 5, 2022**, and an unspecified end date.

A total of **108 human dry skulls** were examined. The **sample size** was determined using the formula:

 $n=4pqe2n = \frac{4pq}{e^2}n=e24pq$

where *p* is prevalence, q = 100 - p, and *e* is the permissible error (5%).

The study received **ethical clearance** from the institutional committee.

Instruments Used

- **Digital vernier calipers** (for precise measurement)
- Pencil
- White cotton sheet

Inclusion Criteria

- Skulls separated above the level of the pterion
- Regularly shaped skulls without deformities

Exclusion Criteria

- Skulls with pterion on only one side
- Damaged or irregularly shaped skulls



Fig 1:- Sphenoparietal

1194 | International Journal of Pharmacy Research & Technology | Jan -May 2025 | Vol 15 | Issue 1

Parameters Studied

- **Type of Pterion** (classified by Murphy into):
- Sphenoparietal
- Frontotemporal
- Epipteric
- Stellate
- Length of Pterion
- Measured differently depending on type
- Thickness at Pterion
- Measured at the center point where four bones meet
- External Measurements
- Centre of pterion to:
- Posterolateral aspect of frontozygomatic suture (PFZS)
- Superior margin of zygomatic arch (PZA)
- Internal Measurements
- Centre of pterion to:
- Lateral end of ridge on the lesser wing of sphenoid (PSR)
- Lateral margin of optic canal (POC)
 - **Statistical Analysis**
- Mean ± standard deviation
- ANOVA (single-factor) used to compare right and left side measurements
- Significance level: $p \le 0.05$
- Sample Size: 108 dry human skulls
- **Focus:** Types of pterion, their symmetry, laterality, and morphometric distances to cranial landmarks
- Statistical Analysis: One-way ANOVA using SPSS v16.0

Result

Types of Pterion Observed (Murphy's Classification)

	Туре	Count	Percentage
--	------	-------	------------

1195 | International Journal of Pharmacy Research & Technology | Jan -May 2025 | Vol 15 | Issue 1

Sphenoparietal	133	61.57%
Frontotemporal	60	27.77%
Epipteric	23	10.64%
Stellate	0	0%

Symmetry Presentation

Presentation	Count	Percentage
Symmetrical	91	84.25%
Asymmetrical	17	15.74%

Unilateral and Bilateral Occurrence

Туре	Total	Bilateral	Unilateral
Sphenoparietal	133	120	13
Frontotemporal	60	52	8
Epipteric	23	12	11
Stellate	0	0	0

Unilateral Pterion: Side-wise Distribution

Туре	Unilateral	Right	Left
Sphenoparietal	13	2	11
Frontotemporal	8	5	3
Epipteric	11	9	2

Side-Wise Percentage Distribution of Pterion Types

Туре	Right (N=108)	Left (N=108)	Total (%)
Sphenoparietal	62 (57.40%)	71 (65.74%)	133 (61.57%)
Frontotemporal	31 (28.70%)	29 (26.85%)	60 (27.77%)
Epipteric	15 (13.88%)	8 (7.40%)	23 (10.64%)
Stellate	0	0	0 (0%)

Morphometric Measurements (Mean ± SD)

External Skull Measurements

1196 | International Journal of Pharmacy Research & Technology | Jan -May 2025 | Vol 15 | Issue 1

Parameter	Right	Left	f- value	p-value
	2.91	2.97		
Length of	±	±	0.109	0.741
pterion	1.30	1.44	0.108	0.741
	cm	cm		
	0.53	0.59		
Thickness at	±	±	1 207	0.228
center	0.06	0.46	1.397	0.238
	cm	cm		
Pterion to	1 17 +	4.15		
Zygomatic Arch	-4.17 ± 0.18	±	0 503	0.441
(ZA)	0.10	0.25	0.393	0.441
(ZA)	CIII	cm		
Pterion to	$3.54 \pm$	3.49 ±		
Frontozygomatic	0.42	0.44	0.686	0.3905
Suture	cm	cm		

Internal Skull Measurements

Parameter	Right	Left	f-value	p-value
Pterion to Optic Canal (OC)	$4.57 \pm 0.29 \text{ cm}$	4.51 ± 0.36 cm	1.594	0.214
Pterion to Sphenoidal Ridge (SR)	$1.53 \pm 0.36 \text{ cm}$	$1.54 \pm 0.35 \text{ cm}$	0.084	0.771

Type of	Total	Bilater	Unilate
pterion		al	ral
Sphenop arietal	133	120	13
Frontote mporal	60	52	8
Epipteric	23	12	11
Stellate	0	0	0



DISCUSSION

Table 9: Percentage distribution of **Symmetrical and Asymmetrical**distribution in different studies.

Study/Population	Symmetr ical (%)	Asymmet rical (%)
Mwachaka P.M et al,		
2009, Kenyans, n=90,	78	22
Known gender.		
Ankur Zalwadia et al, 2010,		
Western Indians, n=42,	99	01
unknown gender.		
Wandee C, et al, 2011,		
Thailand, n=268, Known	84.7	15.8
gender.		

In present Study, Indians population,	0.4.25	1.5.5.1
n-108, Unknown gender	84.25	15.74

Sphenoparietal type of pterion is more frequent in all the regions. This is in comparison with other studies.

In the present study the occurrence of SP type was 61.57% which was low when compared to Murphy ^[9], Ankur Zalwadia et al ^[23], Wandee C et al [16], Anjana.S. et al ^[30], Alper Sindel et al ^[32], studies.

The high occurrence of sphenoparietal type could have some evolutionary basis ^[13]. The sphenoparietal type is dominant in humans and frontotemporal is dominant in non human primates.

Author	Population	N= skulls	Stell ate (%)
Murphy, 1956	Australian	388	0.7
Ankur Zalwadia et al, 2010	Western Indians	42	0
Wandee C, et al, 2011	Thai	268	0
Anjana.S et al, 2015	Karnataka	32	6.2
Present Study	Indian	108	0

Authors	Right	Left
Oguzet al, 2004	3.30±0.40	3.44±0.39
Ankur Zalwadia et al, 2010	3.73±0.51	3.55±0.42

Anjana.S et al, 2015	3±0.4	2.9±0.2
Present study	3.54±0.42	3.49±0.44

The pterion is expected to lie 3.0 - 3.5 cm behind the frontozygomatic suture^[1].In the present study the pterion is 3.54 ± 0.42 cm (right) and 3.49 ± 0.44 cm (left) behind the frontozygomatic suture.

In the study done by Ankur Zalwadia et al these measurements were 3.73 ± 0.51 cm on (right) and 3.49 ± 0.44 cm on (left) side which was slightly high as compared to the present study .

In the study conducted on Turkish population the measurements of PFZS were 3.4 cm respectively. In the study conducted by Oguz et al ^[2] the measurements observed were 3.30 ± 0.40 cm (right) and 3.44 ± 0.39 which low then the present study.

CONCLUSION

From the present study important interferences drawn were:

- Pterion was observed on the basis of Murphy's classification out of which 3 types of pterions were observed they are sphenoparietal, frontotemporal, and epipteric type of pterion.
- Sphenoparietal type of pterion was the most dominant type of pterion which was observed in 61.57% of cases, frontotemporal was observed in 27.77% of cases, epipteric type of pterion which was observed in 10.64% of cases
- The same type of pterion which occurred on both right and left aspect in the same skull in 84.25% of cases. Sphenoparietal occurred more bilaterally than frontotemporal and stellate.
- The mean distance from the centre of pterion to the frontozygomatic suture was 3.54±0.42 cm (right) and 3.49±0.44 cm (left). The mean distance from centre of pterion to zygomatic arch was 4.17±0.18 cm (right) and 4.15±0.25 cm (left). Statistically no significant side difference were found in the location of the pterion from the centre of the pterion to the zygomatic arch and the

frontozygomatic suture.

- The mean distance from the internal aspect of the centre of pterion to sphenoidal ridge was 1.53±0.36 cm (right) and 1.54±0.35 cm (left). The mean distance from the optic canal to the inner aspect of the centre of pterion was 4.57±0.29 cm (right) and 4.51±0.36 cm (left).
- Statistically no significant side differences were found in the location of the pterion to the sphenoidal ridge and the optic canal.

The present study concludes that the:.

The measurement of internal aspect is useful in microsurgeries of olfactory meningiomas present on the inferior aspect of the frontal lobe, tumor in retro orbital, orbital, sellar & chiasmatic areas and operations in Broca's motor speech area and the study will be helpful to anatomists, neurosurgeons, anthropologists and researchers.

REFFERANCE

- Standring, S.(2008) Gray's Anatomy. The anatomical basis of clinical practice. 40th Edition, chapter – head and neck, external features of skull. Churchill Livingstone Elsevier. London (UK); page: 403, 412, 418
- 2. Oguz, O; Sanli, SG; Bozkir, MG; Soames, RW. (2004) Pterion in Turkish male skulls. Surg Radio Anat; 1: 32 33
- 3. Snell, RS. (2012) Snell's Clinical Anatomy by Regions. 9th edition, chapter head and neck external features of the skull. Wolters Kluwer/ Lippincott Williams Wilkins. New Delhi, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New York, London, Buenos Aires, Hong Kong, Sydney, Tokyo; page: 532.
- 4. Williams, LP; Bannister, LH; Berry, MM; Collins, P; Dyson, M; Dussek, JE; Ferguson, MWJ. (1998) Gray's Anatomy. 38th edition. Churchill Livingstone. London; page: 568, 595.
- 5. Wikimedia

- 6. Ranganathan T.S. A textbook of human Anatomy. 5th edition; S.chand & Company; 1946:744.
- 7. Satheesha N, Sowmya KV. Unusal sutural bones at pterion. 2008: International Jounal of Anatomical variations; 1: 19-20.
- Saxena, SK; Jain, SP; Chowdhary, DS. (1988) A comparative study of pterion formation and its variations in the skulls of Nigerians and Indians. Anthropol Anz. 46: 75 -82
- 9. Murphy, T. (1956) Pterion in Australia Aborigine. American journal of Physical Anthropology; 14 (2): 225 244.
- Q.Wang, L. A. Opperman, L. M. Havill, D. S. Carlson, and P. C. Dechow. Inheritance of sutural pattern at the pterion in rhesus monkey skulls. Anatomical Record A 2006;.vol. 288(10):1042-1049
- 11. T.W.Saddler, Ph.d. Langman's Medical Embryology 2010; Lippinocott Williams & Wilkins : 127-128
- 12. Murray Brookes, Anthony Zietman. Clinical Embryology: a color atlas and Text.1998; CRS Press LIC: 286-287
- 13. Hussain Saheb S, Mavishettar, Thomas ST, Prasanna, Muralidhar P, Magi: A study of sutural morphology of the pterion and asterion among human adult Indian skulls: Biomedical research: 2011; 22(1); 73-7
- 14. Pal, GP. And Routal, RV. (1986) A study of sutural bones in different morphological forms of skulls. Anthropologischer Anzeiger; 44 (2): 169 173.
- 15. Manjunath K.Y. & Thomas I.M., 1993, Pterion variants and epipteric ossicles in South Indian skulls, J Anat Soc India, 42, 85-94
- 16. Wandee A, Supin C, Vipavadee C, Paphaphat Y, Noppadol P. Anantomical consideration of pterion and it's related references in Thai dry skulls for Pterional surgical approach. 2011; J Med Assoc Thai: 94 (2);205-214.
- 17. Mwachaka, Pm; Hassanali, J; Odula, P. (2009) Sutural morphology of the pterion and asterion among adult Kenyans. Braz J Morphol Sci; 26: 4 7.
- 18. Arun Kumar S.B, Gupta S.C, Saxena R.C. Pterion formation and its variation in the Indo-Nepalese skulls. July 2002; JNGMC; 2: 1-3.
- Moore, KL; Dalley, AF; Agur, AMR.(2014) Moore Clinical Oriented Anatomy.
 7th edition, chapter head. Wolters Kluwer/ Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
 New Delhi, Philadelphia, Baltimore, New York, London, Buenos Aires, Hong Kong; page: 874 – 875.