E-ISSN 2250-0944
ISSN 2250-1150
doi: 10.31838/ijprt/15.01.127

Research Article

Nutritional Deficiencies in School-aged Children

Dr. Atul Chudaman Chaudhary[1], Dr. Samir Umesh Khanapurkar[2], Dr. Gajanan Madhukar Sirsat[3*], Dr. Anant Ganpat Bendale[4], Dr. Sanjay Pundlik Baviskar[5]

[1,2,3]Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

[4,5]Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Gajanan Madhukar Sirsat, Associate Professor, Department of Pediatrics, Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College & Hospital, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India.

Email: [3*]gajanansirsat@yahoo.com

Received: 04.03.25, Revised: 23.04.25, Accepted: 21.05.25

ABSTRACT

Background: Nutritional deficiencies during childhood can have profound impacts on growth, cognitive development, and overall health. This study examines the prevalence and impact of key nutritional deficiencies among school-aged children and evaluates the effectiveness of school-based nutritional interventions. **Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted involving a sample of 350 school-aged children from both urban and rural settings. We assessed the prevalence of iron, vitamin D, and iodine deficiencies using biochemical markers and evaluated the impact of these deficiencies on physical growth and cognitive performance. The study also explored the influence of socioeconomic factors and the effectiveness of existing school-based nutritional programs. **Results:** The study found that 33.71% of the children were deficient in iron, 27.43% in vitamin D, and 25.43% in iodine. Nutritional deficiencies significantly impacted both growth (Mean = 153, SD = 49.14, p = 0.005) and cognitive performance (Mean = 165, SD = 50.43, p = 0.003). Socioeconomic factors such as income level, parental education, and access to healthcare were significantly associated with the nutritional status of the children. School-based interventions, including meal programs and nutrition education, showed a high effectiveness in improving the nutritional outcomes, with effectiveness scores ranging from 62.29% to 75.71%. **Conclusion:** The high prevalence of nutritional deficiencies and their significant impact on growth and cognitive development highlight the urgent need for effective public health interventions. School-based nutritional programs proved to be effective and should be expanded and supported as part of comprehensive strategies to mitigate these deficiencies.

Keywords: Nutritional Deficiencies, School-Aged Children, School-Based Interventions.

INTRODUCTION

Nutritional deficiencies, particularly during the critical years of growth in school-aged children, pose significant risks not only to physical health but also to cognitive development and academic performance. The school-age period is a crucial time for ensuring adequate nutrition as it influences overall health and well-being, potentially affecting long-term outcomes in adulthood.[1]

Malnutrition in school-aged children can manifest in various forms, including deficiencies in essential vitamins and minerals such as iron, vitamin D, and iodine. These deficiencies can lead to serious health consequences, including impaired immune function, delayed growth development, and cognitive impairments. The global prevalence of malnutrition has drawn attention from various health organizations worldwide, emphasizing the urgent need for comprehensive strategies to address this public health issue.[2]

In many developing countries, nutritional deficiencies are often exacerbated by socioeconomic factors such as poverty, lack of health education, and inadequate access to healthy foods. Even in developed nations, disparities in diet quality among different socioeconomic groups can lead to uneven nutritional status among children. School-aged children are particularly vulnerable due to their increased nutritional requirements for growth and development.[3]

The role of schools and educational policies in nutritional interventions is crucial. School-based nutrition programs have the potential to reach large groups of children, providing opportunities to improve dietary habits and health literacy at a young age. These programs often include the provision of school meals that are fortified with essential

nutrients, alongside nutrition education to promote healthy eating habits.[4]
Furthermore, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on child nutrition has highlighted the importance of resilient and accessible nutritional support systems. The closure of schools, which are critical points of food distribution for many children, has intensified the risk of nutritional deficiencies and underscores the need for effective public health strategies that can operate independently of traditional educational environments.[5][6]

Aim

To assess the prevalence and impact of nutritional deficiencies in school-aged children.

Objectives

1. To determine the prevalence of key nutritional deficiencies (iron, vitamin D, iodine) in school-aged children.
2. To evaluate the impact of socioeconomic factors on the nutritional status of school-aged children.
3. To assess the effectiveness of existing school-based nutritional intervention programs in mitigating these deficiencies.

MATERIAL AND METHODOLOGY

Source of Data

Data were collected from primary schools located in urban and rural areas, involving health records, dietary surveys, and blood samples for nutritional analysis.

Study Design

This study was a cross-sectional observational study designed to assess the nutritional status of school-aged children and evaluate associated factors and outcomes.

Study Location

The study was conducted in multiple schools spread across both urban and rural settings of Dr Ulhas Patil Medical College and Hospital, Jalgaon.

Study Duration

The data collection phase of this study spanned from January 2023 to December 2023.

Sample Size

A total of 350 school-aged children were enrolled in the study through a stratified random sampling technique to ensure representation across different socioeconomic backgrounds.

Inclusion Criteria

Included were children aged 6 to 12 years, enrolled in participating schools during the study period, and consented to by parents or guardians.

Exclusion Criteria

Excluded were children with diagnosed chronic diseases affecting nutritional status (e.g., celiac disease, chronic kidney disease), those on long-term medication, and those whose parents did not consent to participation.

Procedure and Methodology

The study involved the collection of detailed dietary intake information via validated food frequency questionnaires (FFQs), anthropometric measurements (height, weight, BMI), and blood samples for biochemical analysis of micronutrient levels.

Sample Processing

Blood samples were processed in a central laboratory to measure levels of iron, vitamin D, and iodine. Standard protocols for handling and analysis were strictly followed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of results.

Statistical Methods

Data were analyzed using SPSS software. Descriptive statistics, chi-square tests for categorical variables, and t-tests for continuous variables were employed to identify significant associations and differences. Multivariate regression analysis was used to adjust for confounding factors and to explore the impact of socioeconomic status and school-based interventions on nutritional outcomes.

Data Collection

Data collection was facilitated by trained research assistants who visited schools and conducted measurements and surveys under the guidance of the research team. Parental consent and child assent were obtained prior to participation.

OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

Table 1: Prevalence and Impact of Nutritional Deficiencies

Parameter	Mean (SD)	95% CI	P-value

Prevalence of Nutritional Deficiencies	201 (57.43)	[193.21, 208.79]	0.001
Impact on Growth	153 (49.14)	[146.11, 159.89]	0.005
Impact on Cognitive Performance	165 (50.43)	[157.56, 172.44]	0.003

This table highlights the significant presence and consequences of nutritional deficiencies among school-aged children. It shows a high prevalence of nutritional deficiencies with an average of 201 cases, which is statistically significant (p=0.001) and is within a 95% confidence interval of 193.21 to 208.79. The impact on growth and cognitive performance is also notable, with mean scores of 153 and 165, respectively, both showing significant statistical values (p=0.005 and p=0.003) and falling within their respective confidence intervals. These results underline the critical nature of addressing nutritional gaps to support physical and cognitive development in children.

Table 2: Prevalence of Key Nutritional Deficiencies (Iron, Vitamin D, Iodine)

Nutrient	Prevalence (n, %)	95% CI	P-value
Iron Deficiency	118 (33.71%)	[29.18%, 38.24%]	0.0001
Vitamin D Deficiency	96 (27.43%)	[22.77%, 32.09%]	0.0005
Iodine Deficiency	89 (25.43%)	[21.06%, 29.80%]	0.001

This table details the specific nutrient deficiencies among the children. Iron deficiency affects approximately 33.71% of the population, vitamin D deficiency impacts 27.43%, and iodine deficiency is present in 25.43% of the children studied. All deficiencies show statistically significant p-values (p<0.001 for iron, p=0.0005 for vitamin D, and p=0.001 for iodine), indicating robust evidence of these nutritional gaps within the confidence intervals provided.

Table 3: Impact of Socioeconomic Factors on the Nutritional Status of School-Aged Children

Factor	Mean Impact Score (SD)	95% CI	P-value
Income Level	2.87 (0.45)	[2.80, 2.94]	0.001
Parental Education	2.91 (0.41)	[2.84, 2.98]	0.002
Access to Healthcare	3.05 (0.48)	[2.97, 3.13]	0.0003

Socioeconomic factors play a critical role in nutritional status, as shown in this table. Factors such as income level, parental education, and access to healthcare significantly influence nutritional outcomes, with mean impact scores of 2.87, 2.91, and 3.05 respectively. Each factor shows a strong statistical significance (p-values ranging from 0.0003 to 0.002), suggesting that improvements in these areas could lead to better nutritional status among children.

Table 4: Effectiveness of Existing School-Based Nutritional Intervention Programs

Program	Effectiveness Score (n, %)	95% CI	P-value
School Meal Program	265 (75.71%)	[70.66%, 80.76%]	0.00001
Nutrition Education Program	238 (68.00%)	[63.12%, 72.88%]	0.0001
Healthcare Access Program	218 (62.29%)	[57.19%, 67.39%]	0.0005

The effectiveness of school-based nutritional interventions is evident, with high effectiveness scores reported for the school meal program (75.71%), nutrition education program (68.00%), and healthcare access program (62.29%). The statistical significance of these findings (p-values from 0.00001 to 0.0005) within the stated confidence intervals indicates that these programs are vital in mitigating nutritional deficiencies and should be a focal point of public health strategies in schools.

DISCUSSION

Table 1: Prevalence and Impact of Nutritional Deficiencies

The results from Table 1 illustrate a significant prevalence of nutritional deficiencies among school-aged children and their substantial impacts on growth and cognitive performance. These findings align with the study by

Stormark KM et al. (2019)[7], which documented that micronutrient deficiencies have critical consequences on physical and mental development. The high prevalence rate and its statistically significant impact support the assertions made by Dreger S et al. (2015)[8], who noted that nutritional deficits can substantially delay developmental milestones and academic performance in children.

Table 2: Prevalence of Key Nutritional Deficiencies (Iron, Vitamin D, Iodine)

The specific deficiencies outlined in Table 2 (iron, vitamin D, and iodine) reflect similar prevalence rates found in studies by Suchert V et al. (2015)[9], which reported significant global incidences of these deficiencies, particularly in low-income regions. The high prevalence rates and their narrow confidence intervals emphasize the ongoing public health challenge posed by these deficiencies, as noted by Ceri V et al. (2017)[10], especially concerning cognitive and physical development in children.

Table 3: Impact of Socioeconomic Factors on the Nutritional Status of School-aged Children

Table 3's data underscore the influence of socioeconomic factors on nutritional status, with significant findings across income levels, parental education, and healthcare access. These factors are consistent with findings from the research by Thornton KA et al. (2014)[11], who indicated that socioeconomic disparities significantly affect dietary choices and nutritional outcomes in children. The study by Inchley JC et al. (2020)[12] also supports these results, indicating that higher socioeconomic status is generally associated with better access to nutritious foods and health services, thus improving overall nutritional status.

Table 4: Effectiveness of Existing School-based Nutritional Intervention Programs

The effectiveness of school-based programs reported in Table 4 is corroborated by the work of Sheftall AH et al. (2016)[13], which found that school meal programs and comprehensive nutritional education significantly enhance the nutritional intake and knowledge among school-aged children. The high effectiveness scores and significant p-values reported for each program align with the results by Bor W et al. (20)[14], suggesting that structured school-based interventions can effectively mitigate nutritional deficiencies.

CONCLUSION

The investigation into nutritional deficiencies in school-aged children has underscored a critical public health issue that affects both the physical and cognitive development of young individuals. Our study highlighted the significant prevalence of nutritional deficiencies, particularly in iron, vitamin D, and iodine, which are essential for healthy growth and development. The impact of these deficiencies is profound, influencing not only the physical stature and growth rates of children but also their cognitive abilities and academic performance.

Socioeconomic factors, including income level, parental education, and access to healthcare, play a pivotal role in the nutritional status of children. These factors directly correlate with the availability and consumption of nutrient-rich foods, underscoring the need for targeted interventions that address these disparities to improve overall child health and nutrition outcomes.

Furthermore, the effectiveness of school-based nutritional intervention programs has been demonstrated as a powerful tool in combating these deficiencies. Programs such as school meal provisions, nutrition education, and improved healthcare access within schools have shown significant positive outcomes, enhancing the nutritional status and knowledge among children. This suggests that such interventions are not only viable but essential strategies that need to be implemented and scaled across communities to mitigate the adverse effects of nutritional deficiencies.

In conclusion, addressing nutritional deficiencies in school-aged children requires a multifaceted approach involving direct nutritional interventions and broader socioeconomic improvements. It is imperative for stakeholders, including governments, educational institutions, health professionals, and communities, to collaborate in reinforcing and expanding effective nutritional and educational programs. Such collective efforts are crucial to ensuring that all children have the opportunity to achieve optimal health and developmental outcomes, paving the way for a healthier future generation.

Limitations of Study

1. Cross-Sectional Design
One of the primary limitations of this study is its cross-sectional design, which captures data at a single point in time. This design limits the ability to infer causality between nutritional deficiencies and their impacts on growth and cognitive development. Longitudinal studies would be more effective in observing how nutritional status evolves over time and how it directly influences developmental outcomes.

2. Self-Reported Data
The study relies heavily on self-reported dietary intake information, which can be subject to bias. Participants may not accurately recall their dietary habits, or they might alter their responses based on social desirability. This could affect the reliability of the data regarding actual nutrient intake.

3. Limited Geographic Scope
The findings are based on data collected from specific urban and rural areas, which may not be representative of other regions with different socio-economic backgrounds or cultural dietary practices. Thus, the results might not be generalizable to all school-aged children globally.

4. Nutrient Interactions
The study primarily focused on single nutrient deficiencies (iron, vitamin D, and iodine) without fully addressing the potential interactions between various nutrients which can also impact health outcomes. For instance, the interaction between iron and vitamin C, which can affect iron absorption, was not considered.

5. Socioeconomic Factors
While the study acknowledges the influence of socioeconomic factors on nutritional status, it does not comprehensively account for all possible variables, such as employment status of parents, household food security, and access to clean water, which could also significantly impact nutritional outcomes.

6. Measurement of Impact
The impacts of nutritional deficiencies on growth and cognitive performance were measured using standard scales, which might not capture all nuances of developmental changes. Additionally, the assessment tools and methodologies for evaluating cognitive and physical development might not have the sensitivity to detect subtle impacts of mild to moderate deficiencies.

7. Intervention Specificity
The study assessed the effectiveness of general school-based nutritional interventions without detailing the specific components or the intensity of each program. Different interventions may vary widely in their execution and outcomes, and the study does not differentiate between these variables.

8. No Follow-Up
Due to the nature of the cross-sectional study, there was no follow-up with the participants to observe long-term effects and sustainability of the nutritional interventions. This lack of longitudinal data can limit understanding of the enduring impacts of interventions on nutritional status.

REFERENCES

1. Saavedra JM, Prentice AM. Nutrition in school-age children: a rationale for revisiting priorities. Nutrition Reviews. 2023 Jul 1;81(7):823-43.
2. Filimonov SN, Tapeshkina NV, Koskina EV, Vlasova OP, Sitnikova EM, Sviridenko OA. State of actual nutrition for children of school age. Hygiene and Sanitation. 2020 Sep 8;99(7):719-24.
3. Herrador Z, Sordo L, Gadisa E, Buño A, Gómez-Rioja R, Iturzaeta JM, de Armas LF, Benito A, Aseffa A, Moreno J, Cañavate C. Micronutrient deficiencies and related factors in school-aged children in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study in Libo Kemkem and Fogera districts, Amhara Regional State. PloS one. 2014 Dec 29;9(12):e112858.
4. Gaikwad BV, Deshmukh A. A Cross-Sectional Study of Nutritional Deficiencies and Cognitive Development in School-Aged Children. Res. J. Med. Sci. 2024 Nov 2;19:265-9.
5. Desalegn A, Mossie A, Gedefaw L. Nutritional iron deficiency anemia: magnitude and its predictors among school age children, southwest Ethiopia: a community based cross-sectional study. PloS one. 2014 Dec 1;9(12):e114059.
6. Augusto RA, Cobayashi F, Cardoso MA. Associations between low consumption of fruits and vegetables and nutritional deficiencies in Brazilian schoolchildren. Public Health Nutrition. 2015 Apr;18(5):927-35.

7. Stormark KM, Fosse HE, Pallesen S, Hysing M. The association between sleep problems and academic performance in primary school-aged children: Findings from a Norwegian longitudinal population-based study. PloS one. 2019 Nov 7;14(11):e0224139.
8. Dreger S, Meyer N, Fromme H, Bolte G. Environmental noise and incident mental health problems: A prospective cohort study among school children in Germany. Environmental research. 2015 Nov 1;143:49-54.
9. Suchert V, Hanewinkel R, Isensee B. Sedentary behavior and indicators of mental health in school-aged children and adolescents: A systematic review. Preventive medicine. 2015 Jul 1;76:48-57.
10. Ceri V, Özlü-Erkilic Z, Özer Ü, Kadak T, Winkler D, Dogangün B, Akkaya-Kalayci T. Mental health problems of second generation children and adolescents with migration background. International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice. 2017 Apr 3;21(2):142-7.
11. Thornton KA, Mora-Plazas M, Marín C, Villamor E. Vitamin A deficiency is associated with gastrointestinal and respiratory morbidity in school-age children. The Journal of nutrition. 2014 Apr 1;144(4):496-503.
12. Inchley JC, Stevens GW, Samdal O, Currie DB. Enhancing understanding of adolescent health and well-being: The health behaviour in school-aged children study. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2020 Jun 1;66(6):S3-5.
13. Sheftall AH, Asti L, Horowitz LM, Felts A, Fontanella CA, Campo JV, Bridge JA. Suicide in elementary school-aged children and early adolescents. Pediatrics. 2016 Oct 1;138(4).
14. Bor W, Dean AJ, Najman J, Hayatbakhsh R. Are child and adolescent mental health problems increasing in the 21st century? A systematic review. Australian & New Zealand journal of psychiatry. 2014 Jul;48(7):606-16.