E-ISSN 2250-0944

ISSN 2250-1150
doi: 10.48047/ijprt/15.02.472

Research Article

A Comparative Evaluation of Simulation-Based and Traditional Teaching Strategies in Undergraduate Medical students

Sadaf Sajid, Faheed ul Haque, Tariq Javed, Aamer Wadud, Anwar Soomro, Muhammad Bilal, Shahnaz Antara

1. Associate Professor, Forensic Medicine, Queens Medical College.
2. Assistant Professor, Perioperative Medicine, Anesthesia and Critical Care, Sialkot Medical College, Sialkot.
3. Associate Professor, Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, MTH Faisalabad.
4. Assistant Professor, General Surgery, HITEC IMS Taxila; Surgical Specialist, HIT Hospital Taxila; Department of General Surgery, HIT Hospital and HITEC IMS Taxila.
5. Assistant Professor, Anatomy Department, Jhalawan Medical College, Khuzdar.
6. Senior Registrar, University Medical & Dental College, Faisalabad.
7. Doctor.

Corresponding author: Sadaf Sajid

ABSTRACT: The increasing complexity of modern medical education has intensified the need to identify teaching methods that enhance clinical competence, critical thinking, and skill retention. This study evaluated the comparative effectiveness of simulation-based learning and traditional didactic teaching among undergraduate medical students, with emphasis on knowledge acquisition, procedural competency, and learner confidence. A total of 160 students were recruited and assigned to simulation-based or traditional teaching sessions, followed by standardized objective structured clinical examinations and pre- and post-intervention assessments. Students exposed to simulation demonstrated significantly higher post-teaching knowledge scores and superior procedural accuracy compared with the traditional teaching group ($p<0.001$). Simulation also produced a markedly greater improvement in learner confidence and task performance consistency ($p<0.01$). These findings suggest that simulation training offers quantifiable advantages in skill acquisition and application, supporting its integration as a core pedagogical modality rather than an adjunctive tool. Keywords: simulation-based learning, medical education, teaching strategies.

INTRODUCTION: Contemporary medical education is shaped by evolving expectations of clinical competence, patient safety standards, and the demand for practice-ready graduates capable of performing complex tasks with precision. Traditional didactic teaching, long considered the

cornerstone of undergraduate curricula, relies heavily on teacher-centered instruction delivered through lectures, demonstrations, and passive learning formats. While this approach offers structured knowledge dissemination, it frequently fails to cultivate the clinical reasoning, hands-on proficiency, and adaptive decision-making required in modern health-care environments. With increasing recognition of these limitations, medical institutions have begun adopting innovative pedagogies that promote experiential learning and skill development in controlled, risk-free environments.[1-4]

Simulation-based teaching has emerged as a leading strategy within this pedagogical shift. Its use spans a wide continuum, from low-fidelity task trainers to advanced patient simulators and immersive scenario-based environments. Simulation aims to replicate clinical complexity while ensuring learner safety and allowing repetition, feedback, and error correction without patient harm. Recent advances have strengthened its capacity to emulate realistic physiological responses, technical challenges, and urgent decision-making contexts that are otherwise difficult to reproduce in conventional teaching. These technological developments have elevated simulation from a supplementary tool to a strategic component of skills-based training.[5-8]

The relevance of simulation-based education extends beyond skill acquisition. It supports cognitive integration by linking conceptual knowledge with practical execution, thereby improving retention and reducing the well-documented theory-practice gap in health-care training. Through structured debriefing, learners analyze decisions, evaluate outcomes, and refine reasoning processes, reinforcing deeper learning. These features address a critical shortcoming of traditional didactic teaching, which often emphasizes memorization over application. Given the dynamic nature of clinical work, future practitioners must not only possess theoretical understanding but also demonstrate competency under variable and uncertain conditions.[9-12]

Moreover, the global emphasis on patient safety has placed pressure on training programs to reduce preventable errors and ensure competence prior to clinical exposure. Simulation offers a platform for formative learning that does not compromise patient welfare. Procedural tasks, emergency responses, and communication skills can be practiced repeatedly until mastery is achieved. In comparison, traditional methods frequently depend on opportunistic learning in clinical settings, where variability in case exposure and time constraints may limit training quality. As clinical

environments become increasingly burdened, simulation provides a structured alternative that ensures equitable opportunities for all learners.

Despite its widespread adoption, there remains ongoing debate regarding the comparative efficacy of simulation-based teaching versus conventional instruction. Although numerous studies highlight positive outcomes associated with simulation, differences in design, methodology, and assessment tools have generated inconsistent findings. Some studies suggest that simulation enhances performance primarily in psychomotor tasks, while others indicate broader cognitive and attitudinal benefits. These inconsistencies underscore the need for controlled comparative evaluations that assess multiple dimensions of learning, including knowledge acquisition, procedural competency, and self-confidence. The present investigation contributes to this growing evidence base by providing a structured comparison of simulation-based and traditional teaching strategies among undergraduate medical students, utilizing standardized assessments and objective performance metrics to ensure validity.

METHODOLOGY: This prospective comparative study was conducted at Queens Medical College among 160 undergraduate medical students aged 20–24 years enrolled in a tertiary academic institution after ethical approval and verbal informed consent. Sample size was calculated using EpiInfo software based on an anticipated 20% difference in post-intervention performance scores between simulation-based and traditional teaching methods, with a 95% confidence level, 80% power, and an expected standard deviation of 15, resulting in a minimum sample requirement of 150; increased to 160 to account for attrition. Participants were assigned into two equal groups: a simulation-based instruction group utilizing high-fidelity mannequins and structured clinical scenarios, and a traditional teaching group receiving lecture-based and demonstration-based instruction. Inclusion criteria comprised active enrollment in the medical program, absence of prior simulation exposure, and willingness to participate. Students with previous advanced clinical training, ongoing illnesses affecting performance, or incomplete data were excluded. Both groups received identical curricular content delivered through different instructional formats. Performance assessment included pre-test and post-test written examinations and an objective structured clinical examination conducted by blinded evaluators. Procedural skill accuracy, time efficiency, and confidence levels were quantified using validated scoring rubrics.

Statistical analysis employed independent t-tests and paired analyses with significance set at $p<0.05$.

RESULTS

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics

Variable	Simulation Group (n=80) Mean±SD	Traditional Group (n=80) Mean±SD	p-value
Age (years)	21.8±1.1	21.6±1.0	0.41
Gender (M/F)	38/42	40/40	0.72
Prior Clinical Exposure (%)	12.5	11.3	0.81

Both groups demonstrated comparable baseline characteristics, ensuring analytical validity.

Table 2. Knowledge and Procedural Performance Scores

Outcome Measure	Simulation Mean±SD	Traditional Mean±SD	p-value
Post-test Knowledge Score	86.4±6.8	74.2±7.5	<0.001
Procedural Accuracy Score	91.2±5.4	78.5±6.1	<0.001
Time to Task Completion (min)	7.8±1.3	10.4±1.5	<0.001

Simulation yielded significantly superior scores and greater operational efficiency.

Table 3. Confidence and Performance Improvement

Variable	Simulation Mean±SD	Traditional Mean±SD	p-value
Confidence Improvement (%)	42.6±9.3	21.8±7.4	<0.001
OSCE Score Improvement (%)	38.2±8.1	19.4±6.9	<0.001

Simulation demonstrated stronger gains in learner confidence and overall competence.

The results show that baseline equivalence between groups was maintained. Simulation-based teaching produced significantly higher knowledge scores, procedural accuracy, reduced task time, and greater improvements in confidence and OSCE performance compared with traditional instruction.

DISCUSSION: The findings of this study highlight a clear advantage of simulation-based teaching over traditional didactic methods in undergraduate medical education. The substantial

improvement in post-teaching knowledge scores suggests that simulation enhances cognitive engagement and information retention through active participation rather than passive acquisition. Scenario-based environments may facilitate deeper conceptual understanding by contextualizing theoretical content within clinically relevant situations.[13-15]

The enhanced procedural accuracy observed among simulation-trained students underscores the role of experiential learning in the development of technical competence. Repetition and immediate feedback inherent in simulation create opportunities for iterative refinement, minimizing errors that often persist when skills are learned solely through demonstration-based methods. This aligns with contemporary emphasis on patient safety and the need to ensure procedural readiness prior to clinical exposure.[16-18]

Time efficiency during task performance further distinguishes simulation-based training. Shorter completion times indicate the formation of more efficient motor patterns and decision pathways, potentially reflecting improved coordination and situational awareness. In real clinical settings, these attributes are essential for timely and accurate patient management, particularly in emergency contexts.[19-20]

The pronounced increase in learner confidence in the simulation group reflects strengthened self-efficacy derived from hands-on mastery. Confidence plays a critical role in clinical performance, influencing decision-making, communication, and willingness to undertake procedural responsibilities. Traditional teaching, by limiting experiential opportunities, may not cultivate such psychological preparedness as effectively.

The observed superiority in OSCE score improvement reaffirms the holistic impact of simulation on cognitive, psychomotor, and affective learning domains. OSCEs require integrated performance under structured conditions, and simulation closely mirrors these expectations through realistic scenarios. This pedagogical alignment may partly explain the consistent performance advantage.

These results are consistent with contemporary educational research highlighting the transformative role of simulation in enhancing competence across diverse medical disciplines. Recent developments in simulation technology, combined with refined instructional frameworks,

have further strengthened its pedagogical value. The magnitude of improvement observed in this study supports broader integration of simulation within core curricula rather than its use as an ancillary tool.

Nevertheless, the implementation of simulation requires careful consideration of resource allocation, instructor training, and curricular design. Effective simulation is not merely technology-dependent but relies on structured debriefing and alignment with learning objectives. The demonstrated benefits underscore the importance of sustained investment in simulation-based programs to ensure long-term educational advancement.

CONCLUSION: Simulation-based teaching significantly enhances knowledge acquisition, procedural skill performance, and learner confidence compared with traditional methods. These findings support the expanded integration of simulation as a central component of medical training. Future studies should explore long-term competency retention and its translation to clinical outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Motola I, Devine LA. Simulation-based education in medical training. 2021.
2. Cheng A, Grant V. Framework for simulation-enhanced clinical teaching. 2021.
3. Gaba DM. Evolution of simulation in health-care training. 2021.
4. Okuda Y, et al. Improving clinical competence through simulation. 2022.
5. Cook DA, Brydges R. Technology-enhanced learning in medical education. 2023.
6. Rosen KR. The use of high-fidelity simulation in undergraduate teaching. 2022.
7. McGaghie WC, et al. Mastery learning and simulation outcomes. 2023.
8. Maran NJ, Glavin R. Simulation and patient safety improvement. 2021.
9. Ziv A, et al. Simulation in training future practitioners. 2021.
10. Aggarwal R, et al. Procedural skill development via simulation. 2022.
11. Barry I, et al. The impact of scenario-based simulation on performance. 2023.
12. Sawyer T, et al. Simulation debriefing and learning retention. 2022.
13. Barsuk JH, et al. Simulation-based mastery for clinical skills. 2023.
14. Kardong-Edgren S, et al. Learning curves in simulation environments. 2021.

15. Ruiz JG, et al. Active learning vs traditional methods. 2022.
16. Garett R, et al. Learner confidence in simulation-enhanced education. 2021.
17. Lamé G, Dixon-Woods M. Simulation for improving clinical systems. 2024.
18. Sudhir S, et al. Comparative analysis of teaching strategies. 2023.
19. Harder BN. OSCE performance and simulation practice. 2021.
20. Alsaad AA, et al. Effectiveness of simulation in undergraduate medical training. 2024.